UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,752	05/26/2004	Kohichi Ohsumi	JP920030018US1	3751
	7590 10/02/200 NAL BUSINESS MAC	EXAMINER		
DEPT. 18G	,	CHANG, RICK KILTAE		
BLDG. 300-482 2070 ROUTE 5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOPEWELL JU	UNCTION, NY 12533	3726		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/709,	752	OHSUMI ET AL.		
		Examin	er	Art Unit		
		Rick K.	Chang	3726		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with th	he correspondence ad	ddress	
A SHO WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi period for reply is specified above, the maximum s e to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF one of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS pplication to become ABAND	TION.  De timely filed  from the mailing date of this of the content of the conte	·	
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is <b>FINAL</b> . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matters,	-	e merits is	
Disposition	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicatio	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the ala) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers The specification is objected to by the	are withdrawn from o				
10) 🔲 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	: a) ☐ accepted or ection to the drawing(s g the correction is requ	) be held in abeyance. uired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 C		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 3726

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Larson (US

5,160,579).

2.

Re claims 1, 5: Larson discloses preparing an insulating substrate (10) having a front

surface and a back surface, and a layer of metal foil f~rmed oil each of said fiont surface and said

back surface; forming an opening (a through hole in Fig. 1A) in a metal foil of one of said metal

foils and said insulating substrate; forming a first resist pattern (16) on said metal ['oil; forming a

plating layer (18) on an inner surface of said opening and areas of said metal foil not covered by

said first resist pattern; adjusting a thickness of said plating layer on said metal foil (col. 4, line

52); and forming areas of said metal foil not covered by said plating layer into lines, said metal

foil having at least one area covered by said plating layer (Fig. 1J).

Re claim 6: Larson discloses removing said first resist pattern (16 removed in Fig. 11);

forming a second resist pattern (20,22) on said areas of said metal foil; selectively lbrming an

exposed portion of said areas of said metal foil using said second resist pattern (Fig. 11); elching

said metal foil at said exposed portion (12,14 removed); and removing said second resist patiern

(20 removed).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3726

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson (US 5,160,579) in view of Shipley (US 4,902,610).

Larson fails to disclose forming a dielectric layer on said insulating substrate and on said plating layer and said lines on said metal foil; forming an opening in said plating layer; and performing plating on said opening.

Shipley discloses forming a dielectric layer (3) on a substrate (2) and on said lines (1); forming an opening (openings above 1 in Fig. 2) in said dielectric layer on the land; and performing plating on said opening (6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Larson by forming a dielectric layer on said insulating substrate and on said plating layer and said lines on said metal foil; forming an opening in said plating layer; and performing plating on said opening to the Larson's PCB, as taught by Shipley, for the purpose of electrically communicating the inner circuits to the exterior circuits.

5. Claims 2 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson (US 5,160,579) in view of Tamm et al (US 5,666,722).

Larson fails to disclose polishing a surface of said plating layer.

Tamm discloses polishing a surface of said plating layer (Fig. 2f).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Larson by polishing a surface of said plating layer to the Larson's PCB, as taught by Tamm, for the purpose of meeting the electrical requirements of the final circuit.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson (US 5,160,579)/Tamm et al (US 5,666,722) as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Shipley (US 4,902,610).

Larson/Tamm fail to disclose forming a dielectric layer on said insulating substrate and on said plating layer and said lines on said metal foil; forming an opening in said plating layer; and performing plating on said opening.

Shipley discloses forming a dielectric layer (3) on a substrate (2) and on said lines (1); forming an opening (openings above 1 in Fig. 2) in said dielectric layer on the land; and performing plating on said opening (6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Larson/Tamm by forming a dielectric layer on said insulating substrate and on said plating layer and said lines on said metal foil; forming an opening in said plating layer; and performing plating on said opening to the Larson/Tamm's PCB, as taught by Shipley, for the purpose of electrically communicating the inner circuits to the exterior circuits.

7. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson (US 5,160,579)/Shipley (US 4,902,610) as applied to claims 5 and 7 above, and further in view of Tamm et al (US 5,666,722).

Larson/Shipley fail to disclose polishing a surface of said plating layer.

Tamm discloses polishing a surface of said plating layer (Fig. 2f).

Art Unit: 3726

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Larson/Shipley by polishing a surface of said plating layer to the Larson/Shipley's PCB, as taught by Tamm, for the purpose of meeting the electrical requirements of the final circuit.

8. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson (US 5,160,579)/Tamm et al (US 5,666,722) as applied to claims 5-6, 8-9 above, and further in view of Asai et al (US 6,828,510).

Larson/Tamm fail to disclose polishing using a belt sander.

Asai discloses polishing using a belt sander (col. 23, line 49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Larson/Tamm by polishing using a belt sander to the Larson/Tamm's PCB, as taught by Asai, for the purpose of meeting the electrical requirements of the final circuit.

9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson (US 5,160,579)/Shipley (US 4,902,610)/Tamm et al (US 5,666,722) as applied to claims 5-7, 10 above, and further in view of Asai et al (US 6,828,510).

Larson/Shipley/Tamm fail to disclose polishing using a belt sander.

Asai discloses polishing using a belt sander (col. 23, line 49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Larson/Shipley/Tamm by polishing using a belt sander to the Larson/Shipley/Tamm's PCB, as taught by Asai, for the purpose of meeting the electrical requirements of the final circuit.

#### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Larson discloses preparing an insulating substrate (double sided printed circuit boards as well as multilayer PCBs (col. 3, lines 44-48)) having a layer of metal foil formed on each of a from surface and a back surface of the substrate (12; double sided printed circuit boards as well as multilayer PCBs (col. 3, lines 44-48)), and forming a plating layer (18) on an inner surface of said opening and areas of said metal foil not covered by said first resist pattern; adjusting a thickness of said plating layer on said metal foil (col. 4, line 52); and forming areas of said metal foil not covered by said plating layer into lines, said metal foil having at least one area covered by said plating layer (Fig. 1J). As shown in Fig. 1J, the sides of 12 and 14 are not covered by 18; therefore, they form the lines.

### Interviews After Final

11. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection must be submitted briefly in writing the intended purpose and content of the interview (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Upon review of the agenda, the Examiner may grant the interview if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

## Conclusion

12. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to

Art Unit: 3726

all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rick K. Chang/

Primary Examiner, A.U. 3726

RC

October 4, 2008